



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,312	01/12/2001	Yoshihiro Ueta	299002051800	1784
25226	7590 06/18/2003	' ,		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER	
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			MULPURI, SAVITRI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
		DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	ſΝ	

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/759,312

Examiner

First Last

Applicant(s)

Ueta et al

Art Unit

1234

	I not east				
	on the cover sheet with the corre				
Period for Reply					
IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM			
sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE, amc	It filed on 5/15/03	·			
This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
tion of Claims					
Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are	pending in the application.			
la) Of the above, claim(s) 8-11	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 12</u>		is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
ation Papers					
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The drawing(s) filed on is/are	; a) 🗆 accepted or b) 🗆 objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ser	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.				
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application N	lo			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
Attachment(s)					
ctice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	No(s).			
tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (f				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					
IN a Serpendi 11 4	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the glate of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the provisor of the priority documents have application of the priority documents have the provisor of the priority documents have the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic entries the provisor of the provisor of the provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic entries of Patertspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE			

Application/Control Number: 09/759312

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/15/03 has been entered.

This is action is in response to the applicant's request for continued application with amendment filed on 5/15/03 and election of product claims 1-7, 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Acceptor doping layer comprises $Ga_x In_y Al_{(1-x+y)} N$ (where 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1 and 0, x + y < 1) is already recited in claim1.

In claim 4, line 2 "lawer" is not proper word and should be "layer".

In claim 12, line 8 "con pound" should be replaced with ----compound"

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura et al (US 6,201,823 in combination with Zauner et al (publication by materials research society).

Kimura et al discloses a compound semiconductor light emitting device having semiconductor multi layer structure on sapphire substrate, wherein multi layer structure comprises acceptor doping layer and evenly formed of multi quantum well active layer "107" with seven period alternating quantum well and barrier layers of GaInN formed on n-AlGaN cladding layer '105" and n-GaN optical guide layer "106". Kimura further discloses magnesium doped P-AlGaN cladding layer "!08" and magnesium doped p-type GaN optical guide layer "109" (see background invention). Kimura et al discloses in the background invention, even layers of multiple layers of GaN are formed on flat surface of the sapphire substrate(see fig. 1 and fig. 2 and related description). Kimura does not disclose the starting substrate is GaN substrate having tilted crystal orientation from <00001> direction by an angle which is equal to or grater than about 0.5 and less than or equal to 2 degrees. Inherently active layer is formed from the substrate by a distance greater than or equal to 1 micron.

Art Unit:

Zauner et al teaches growing GaN layer on GaN substrate as a homo-epitaxial growth at tilted angle of 0,2, and 4 degrees to obtain GaN layers with two orders of magnitude reduction in density of grown hillocks as compared to homo-epitaxial films grown on <0001> direction or hetero- epitaxial growth such GaN grown on sapphire substrate. Zauner particularly teaches obtaining smoother layers due to suppression of formation hexagonal pyramids of GaN growth because GaN is grown on GaN substrate with off-angle orientation from <0001> direction(see abstract and the introduction section). Zauner et al compared the results GaN grown on GaN substrate off-oriented from <0001> direction with GaN grown on GaN substrate with <0001> orientation and hetero-epitaxial growth such as GaN grown on sapphire substrate with> orientation(see results and discussions) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace sapphire substrate with GaN substrate having off-orientation from <0001> direction for the benefit of obtaining smoother layers with less density of grown hillocks by two orders of magnitude compared to homo-epitaxial growth of GaN on GaN with <0001) direction and hetero epitaxial growth of GaN on sapphire <0001> direction. Modified invention of Kimura , as modified by the teaching Zauner, would have active layer with surface roughness which is equal to or less than a thickness of well layer in the quantum well structure because same technique of homoepitaxial growth of GaN grown on GaN substrate with tilt angle of 0, 2, 4 degrees tilted away from <0001> direction to <11-20> or <1-100>.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 12 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/759312

Page 5

Art Unit:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mulpuri whose telephone number is 703-305-5184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

SAVITRI MULPURI PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 09/715312 Page 2
Art Unit:

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, 12, drawn to product, classified in class 257, subclass 103.
 - II. Claims 8-11, drawn to method, classified in class 438, subclass 46.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be produced by a materially different process with no stopping growth step of active layer for certain time period of time after forming the well layer of the active layer.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr Eide on 6/6/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of product, claims 1-7, 12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-11 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Application/Control Number: 09/715312

Page 3

Art Unit:

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mulpuri whose telephone number is (703) 305-5184.

SM

June 15, 2003

SAVITRI MULPURI PRIMARY EXAMINER